

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/663,417	09/16/2003	Michael Iwatschenko-Borho	TEC03-04(TEG)	2715
7590 10/13/2005		EXAMINER		
Barry W. Chapin, Esq.			GAGLIARDI, ALBERT J	
CHAPIN & HU	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Westborough O				TALER NOMBER
1700 West Park Drive			2878	
Westborough, MA 01581			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- U
		10/663,417	 IWATSCHENKO-BOI	RHO ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Albert J. Gagliardi	2878	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	<u> </u>	orrespondence addre	9SS
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Second This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Experience.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ⊠ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or example are subjected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example are subjected to be subjected to by the Example are subjected to be subjected to b	vn from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the legrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	•	52)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, 7-18, 20, 23, 25-29 and 36 drawn to methods or apparatus for detecting a radiation source from multiple ways of traffic including at least: plurality of detector assemblies, and a plurality of controllers coupled to detector assemblies and associated with a respective traffic way, classified in class 250, subclass 359.1.
 - II. Claim 31, drawn to a methods or apparatus for detecting a radiation source from multiple ways of traffic including at least: a step or means for performing natural background rejection processing, classified in class 250, subclass 369.
 - III. Claims 5-6, 19, 21-22, 24, 30, 32-35, drawn to methods or apparatus for detecting a radiation source from multiple ways of traffic including at least: a plurality of detector assemblies, and a plurality of controllers coupled to detector assemblies and associated with a respective traffic way; and a step or means for performing natural background rejection processing, classified in at least class 250, subclasses 359.1 and 369.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as for performing background rejection of radiation detectors regardless of whether or not the system includes

Application/Control Number: 10/663,417

Art Unit: 2878

multiple controllers configured to multiple detectors while invention I has separate utility for efficiently monitoring discrete areas with a reduced number of separate detector assemblies. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombinations. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP, § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because claims 1 and 31 are evidence claims that provide evidence that the combination does not require the particulars of subcombinations for patentability. The subcombinations have separate utility as noted above.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Note: The examiner notes that a limitation of an unshielded detector is frequently associated with the limitation relating to natural background rejection, however at this preliminary stage of examination, the limitations do not appear to be necessarily related to each other, and therefore, are not seen as inherently belonging to the same invention. The examiner also notes that some of the claims (claim 5, for example) include limitations of distinguishing between artificial and non-artificial radiation, which at this preliminary stage of examination, seems to relate to the limitation relating to background rejection. Applicant should be aware that as prosecution progresses, these views may change and some of the claims may be considered as belonging to different inventions than identified above.

- 4. No telephone call was made to request an oral election to the above restriction because of the complexity of the restriction (i.e., multiple independent claims including multiple sets of dependent claims directed to combination inventions, and lack of clarity regarding the precise meaning of some of the limitations).
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Albert J. Gagliardi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2436. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2878

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Albert J. Gagliardi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

AJG